FARRIS MATHEWS BRANAN & HELLEN

PLC

Attorneys at Law

Suite 2400 511 Union Street Nashville, TN 37219 Phone 615 726-1200 Fax 615 726-1776

Attorneys William W. Farris Harlan Mathews Homer Boyd Branan, III Tim Wade Hellen Edwin Dean White III Charles B. Welch, Jr. G. Ray Bratton John Michael Farris D. Edward Harvey Rebecca Pearson Tuttle Eugene Stone Forrester, Jr. Dedrick Brittenum, Jr. Barry F. White Robert F. Miller Robert A. McLean Anita I. Lotz Gregory W. O'Neal Steven C. Brammer Harold W. Fonville, II Fred D. (Tony) Thompson, Jr. Pamela Haddock Klavon Paul C. Peel Jon F. Minkoff

Of Counsel Henry H. Hancock

MEMPHIS DOWNTOWN
Suite 2000
One Commerce Square
Memphis, TN 38103
Phone 901 259-7100
Fax 901 259-7150

MEMPHIS EAST
Suite 400
5384 Poplar Avenue
Memphis, TN 38119
Phone 901 763-4000
Fax 901 763-4095

199 MONIA HAND DELIVERY

EXECUTIVE CECNETARY

Mr. David Waddell Executive Secretary Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0505

RE: Time Warner Telecom of the Mid-South, L.P.'s Response to the Petition of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for Section 252(b) Arbitration
TRA Docket No. 99-00797

Dear Mr. Waddell:

Enclosed for filing, please find the original plus thirteen (13) copies of Time Warner Telecom of the Mid-South, L.P.'s Response to the Petition of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., for Section 252(b) Arbitration. Copies are being served on parties of record.

If you have any questions or concerns with regard to this filing, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

FARRIS, MATHEWS, BRANAN & HELLEN, P.L.C.

Charles B. Welch, Jr.

CBWjr:cg
cc: Carolyn M. Marek
C:\DATA\CBW\TN982766\WADDELL.512

FILE

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:)	,38 MGA	9	PM	3	33
Petition for Arbitration of the Interconnection Agreement between BELLSOUTH COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and TIME WARNER TELECOM OF THE MID-SOUTH, L.P., pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.))) Docket No. 99-00797)))	EXECUI	i de la composition della comp			i Taivi

Time Warner Telecom of the Mid-South, L.P.'s Response to Petition of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., for Section 252(b) Arbitration

Pursuant to Section 252(b)(3) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Time Warner Telecom of the Mid-South, L.P. ("Time Warner") responds to the Petition for Arbitration of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth"), as follows:

- 1. The allegations of paragraph 1 are admitted.
- 2. Time Warner is a Tennessee limited partnership that maintains its principal place of business at Park Ridge One, 10475 Park Meadows Drive, Littleton, Colorado 80124. Except as specifically alleged, the allegations of paragraph 2 are admitted.
- 3. With respect to voluntarily negotiated interconnection agreements, the provisions of Section 251(b) of the 1996 Act are not controlling. Except as specifically alleged, the allegations of paragraph 3 are admitted.
 - The allegations of paragraph 4 are admitted.
 - 5. The allegations of paragraph 5 are admitted.
 - 6. The allegations of paragraph 6 are admitted.



- 7. The allegations of paragraph 7 are admitted.
- 8. The allegations of paragraph 8 are admitted.
- 9. Pursuant to Section 252(b)(2) of the 1996 Act and consistent with orders of this Commission, set forth below is Time Warner's matrix summarizing the unresolved issue between BellSouth and Time Warner. Time Warner admits that Exhibit 3 to the Petition is a copy of the Interconnection Agreement negotiated between Time Warner and BellSouth, with the exception of Sections 1.1, 8.1, and 8.3 of Attachment 3 and the definition of "local traffic" in the General Terms and Conditions, all of which are issues in dispute (there are also a few minor changes in Section 2 of the General Terms and Conditions and appendix C of Attachment 10 to which the parties agreed after the filing of BellSouth's Petition). Time Warner agrees with BellSouth that the only issue in dispute is the definition of "Local Traffic," but disagrees with BellSouth's characterization of Time Warner's position. Time Warner's position is set forth in the following matrix:

Issue	BST Position	Time Warner Position	Applicable Rule/Order
What should be the appropriate definition of "local traffic" for purposes of the parties' reciprocal compensation obligations under Section 251(b)(5) of the 1996 Act?	"Local traffic" should be defined to apply only to traffic that originates and terminates within a local area. The definition should expressly exclude traffic to Internet Service Providers, which is interstate traffic.	For purposes of reciprocal compensation, calls to ISPs are to be treated as local traffic.	

WHEREFORE, Time Warner respectfully requests that the Commission classify calls to ISPs as "local traffic" for purposes of the parties' reciprocal compensation obligations under Section 251(b)(5) of the 1996 Act.

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of November, 1999.

TIME WARNER TELECOM OF THE MID-SOUTH, L.P.

Charles B. Welch, Jr.

Farris, Mathews, Branan & Hellen, P.L.C.

Suite 2400, Nashville City Center

511 Union Street

Nashville, Tennessee 37219

615/726-1200

615/726-1776 (facsimile)

Attorney for Time Warner Telecom of the Mid-South, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via hand delivery this the 9th day of November, 1999 to the following:

Richard Collier, Esquire Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0500

Guy M. Hicks, Esquire General Counsel-Tennessee Suite 201 333 Commerce Street Nashville, TN 37201

TIME WARNER TELECOM OF THE MID-SOUTH, L.P.

Charles B. Welch, Jr.

Farris, Mathews, Branan & Hellen, P.L.C.

Suite 2400, Nashville City Center

511 Union Street

Nashville, Tennessee 37219

615/726-1200

615/726-1776 (facsimile)

Attorney for Time Warner Telecom of the Mid-South, L.P.